

Crandall Parish Council Traffic & Lighting Committee

Minutes of meeting at Crandall Church Rooms: 6th July 2009 starting at 7.45pm

<i>Item</i>	<i>Detail</i>	<i>Action</i>
1	Present: Cllrs Dorn, Shelford and Argent. Apologies: Cllr Wilkinson	
2	Minutes of previous meeting (1 st June 2009) were agreed	
3	Matters arising: none not already addressed by the current agenda	
4a	Enforcement – Green Springs car repair business: it was agreed to monitor the District Council’s actions in this connection and to support them where appropriate	
4b	Enforcement – parking of van: it was noted that, following the clerk’s letter to the person concerned, no further problems had been reported.	NFA
5	PFI Lighting contract: Cllr Argent has been in correspondence with Hants CC’s Project Director who has advised that the deadline for determining who’s “in” and who’s “out” as far as this 25-year contract is concerned is now likely to be towards the end of September 2009. Cllr Argent advised that we probably now have all the information we can reasonably expect to get as far as the PFI Scheme is concerned (apart from any actual draft contract to peruse!) but it’s still necessary to evaluate the pros and cons (especially the likely costs) of engaging a contractor, outside of the PFI scheme, instead. Cllr Argent undertook to seek comparative quotes before the next meeting.	DTA
6a	Relocation of 30mph limit in Well Road: Cllr Dorn advised that Hants CC appear to have installed a speed monitoring device in Well Road, presumably to assess the situation and/or to compare with “SID” data we’ve already provided to them. Cllr Dorn will continue to liaise with Hants CC with a view to maintaining some momentum on this very long running project	CD
6b	White Lines in Pankridge Street: There was no further progress to report on this equally long running project. Cllr Dorn will continue the quest!	CD
7	Distribution of signs – Ewshot:	NFA
8	Beacon Hill Road signage: It was agreed that the draft letter which had earlier been circulated for comment and approval should be sent asap to Hants CC’s Andrew Kettlewell, copied to the relevant Hants County Cllrs, Messrs Glen, Wheale and Radley, and also to a relevant contact in CPRE which Cllr Shelford undertook to identify	Clerk/GS
9	Lorry-activated signs: Cllr Dorn had done some further research and had identified signs which allegedly can distinguish lorries/HGVs from smaller vehicles by virtue of their radar image.	NFA

	However, in view of their cost (several £000), the fact that they did not in themselves prevent lorries from ignoring them (or have any means of identifying such transgressors), and the fact that there appear to have been fewer reported instances of late of lorries getting "stuck", it was decided to take the matter no further	
10	Oak Post outside "the Castle" : it was noted that the hole previously occupied by this post appeared to have been filled, but there was no sign of the broken/missing post being replaced. Given that it was at Hants CC's insistence, and against the wishes of the Parish Council at that time, that this post had been installed in the first place, no further action.	<i>NFA</i>
11	Dippenhall Street "extra telegraph poles" : no further enquiries had been made into this assertion (originally from Barry Cheeseman, it was believed). Cllr Argent undertook to follow it up.	DTA
12	Project Review : covered by other items on the agenda	<i>NFA</i>
13	Marlborough Hill Residents' Association footpath request : Cllr Shelford has suggested to the person concerned that the earliest it could be sensible even to consider what's been requested will be after the current work on Beacon Hill Road has been completed.	
14	Pavement - Croft Lane/Church Street Corner : it was noted that there had been no recent information from Hants CC on this, and it was agreed to seek an update	DTA
15	AOB(3) "No parking" signs - The Court : The Clerk had previously written to Hart DC seeking enforcement action to effect removal of these signs, and Hart DC had responded by asserting that these signs had "deemed consent" was discussed. General concern was expressed that, whatever the merits of these particular signs as far as the residents of "The Court" are concerned, they not only represent an unattractive visual and "urban" intrusion into the Conservation Area but they also potentially create an unfortunate precedent for elsewhere in the village. Cllr Argent indicated how Hart DC may possibly have misinterpreted the relevant Regulations. It was agreed to write to Hart DC, querying the basis for their conclusions and requesting that they look at the case once again.	Clerk/DTA